



Resource Guide:

New Developments in the U.S. Supreme Court—Bold and Creative Advocacy

Background Materials

David C. Frederick, *Supreme Court and appellate advocacy: Mastering oral argument* (West, 2010). Law Library Call No.: **KF9057 .F73 2010**

Anne Marie Lofaso, *A practitioner's guide to appellate advocacy* (American Bar Association, Section of Litigation, 2010). Law Library Call No.: **KF9050 .P73 2010**

Pamela C. Corley, *Concurring opinion writing on the U.S. Supreme Court* (SUNY Press, 2005). Law Library Call No.: **KF8742 .C67 2010**

Brian Lamb; Susan Swain Lamb; and Mark Farkas, (eds.), *The Supreme Court : a C-SPAN book featuring the justices in their own words* (Public Affairs, 2010). Law Library Call No.: **KF8742 .S895 2010**

Civil Procedure

Simona Grossi (Loyola Law School), *Rethinking the Harmonization of Jurisdictional Rules*, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1907371

Robin Effron (Brooklyn Law School), *The Shadow Rules of Joinder*, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1911317

Lumen N. Mulligan (University of Kansas Law School) and Glen Staszewski (Michigan State University College of Law), *The Supreme Court's Regulation of Civil Procedure: The Lessons of Administrative Law*, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1897864

Jaime Dodge (Harvard Law School), *The Limits of Procedural Private Ordering*, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1768308

Arbitration

Jean Sternlight (Boyd School of Law), *Tsunami: AT&T Mobility v. Concepcion Impedes Access to Justice*, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1924365

Jill Gross (Pace Law School), *AT&T Mobility, FAA Preemption and Class Arbitration*, available at: <http://www.scotusblog.com/2011/09/att-mobility-faa-preemption-and-class-arbitration/>

WILLIAM S. BOYD SCHOOL OF LAW
WIENER-ROGERS LAW LIBRARY



Hiro Aragaki (Loyola Law School), *Status and contract in AT&T Mobility v. Concepcion*, available at: <http://www.scotusblog.com/2011/09/status-and-contract-in-att-mobility-v-concepcion/>

Cliff Palefsky (McGuinn, Hillsman & Palefsky), *Separate and Unequal*, available at: <http://www.scotusblog.com/2011/09/separate-and-unequal/>

Brian Fitzpatrick (Vanderbilt Law School), *Is the end of Class Actions Upon Us?*, available at: <http://www.scotusblog.com/2011/09/is-the-end-of-class-actions-upon-us/>

David Horton (Loyola Law School), *Does the Federal Arbitration Act Apply to Wills and Trusts?*, available at: <http://www.scotusblog.com/2011/09/does-the-federal-arbitration-act-apply-to-wills-and-trusts/>

Christopher Drahozal (University of Kansas Law School), *Concepcion and the Arbitration Fairness Act*, available at: <http://www.scotusblog.com/2011/09/concepcion-and-the-arbitration-fairness-act/>

John Lande & Jean R. Sternlight, *The Potential Contribution of ADR to an Integrated Curriculum: Preparing Law Students to Real World Lawyering*, 25 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 247 (2010).

Bold Advocacy

Richard J. Lazarus (Georgetown University Law Center), *Advocacy Matters Before And Within The Supreme Court: Transforming The Court By Transforming The Bar*, 96 GEO. L.J. 1487 (2008).

Robin L. West (Georgetown University Law Center), *The Zealous Advocacy of Justice in a Less Than Ideal Legal World*, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1857091

Creative Advocacy

Linda Edwards, *BRIEFS THAT CHANGED THE WORLD: ADVANCED STRATEGIES IN LEGAL ARGUMENT* (forthcoming from Aspen Law & Bus. 2011).

Linda Berger, *The Lady, or the Tiger? A Field Guide to Metaphor & Narrative*, 50 WASHBURN L. REV. 275 (2011).

WILLIAM S. BOYD SCHOOL OF LAW
WIENER-ROGERS LAW LIBRARY



Linda Edwards, *Once Upon a Time in Law: Myth, Metaphor, and Authority*, 77 TENN. L. REV. ___ (2010).

Linda Berger, *Studying and Teaching "Law as Rhetoric": A Place to Stand*, 16 J. LEGAL WRITING 3 (2010).

Michael Higdon, *Something Judicious This Way Comes . . . The Use of Foreshadowing as a Persuasive Device in Judicial Opinions*, 44 U. RICH. L. REV. 1213 (2010).

Kathryn Stanchi, *The Power of Priming in Legal Advocacy: Using the Science of First Impressions to Persuade the Reader*, 89 OR. L. REV. 305 (2010).

Linda Berger, *How Embedded Knowledge Structures Affect Judicial Decision Making: An Analysis of Metaphor, Narrative, and Imagination in Child Custody Disputes*, 18 S. CAL. INTERDISC. L.J. 259 (2009).

Michael Higdon, *Oral Argument and Impression Management: Harnessing the Power of Nonverbal Persuasion for a Judicial Audience*, 57 U. KAN. L. REV. 631 (2009).

Kathryn Stanchi, *Persuasion: An Annotated Bibliography*, 6 J. ALWD 75 (2009).

Kathryn Stanchi, *Playing with Fire: The Science of Confronting Adverse Material in Legal Advocacy*, 60 RUTGERS L. REV. 381 (2008).

Linda Berger, *Of Metaphor, Metonymy, and Corporate Money: Rhetorical Choices in Supreme Court Decisions on Campaign Finance Regulation*, 58 MERCER L. REV. 949 (2007).

Kathryn Stanchi, *The Science of Persuasion: An Initial Exploration*, 18 MICH. ST. L. REV. 411 (2006).

Ruth Anne Robbins, *Harry Potter, Ruby Slippers and Merlin: Telling the Client's Story Using the Characters and Paradigm of the Archetypal Hero's Journey*, 29 SEATTLE U. L. REV. 767 (2006).

Linda Berger, *What is the Sound of a Corporation Speaking? How the Cognitive Theory of Metaphor Can Help Lawyers Shape the Law*, 2 J. ASS'N LEGAL WRITING DIRECTORS 169 (2004).

Linda Berger, *Do Best Practices in Legal Education Include Emphasis on Compositional*

WILLIAM S. BOYD SCHOOL OF LAW
WIENER-ROGERS LAW LIBRARY



Modes of Studying Law as a Liberal Art? 1 J. ASS'N LEGAL WRITING DIRECTORS 158 (2002).

Linda Berger, *A Reflective, Rhetorical Model: The Legal Writing Teacher as Reader and Writer*, 6 J. LEGAL WRITING 57 (2000).

Linda Berger, *Applying New Rhetoric to Legal Discourse: The Ebb and Flow of Reader and Writer, Text and Context*, 49 J. LEGAL EDUC. 155 (1999).

Helen A. Anderson (University of Washington School of Law), *Changing Fashions in Advocacy: 100 Years of Brief Writing Advice*, available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1470444

Andrea McAtee (Indiana State University) and Kevin T. McGuire (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill), *Lawyers, Justices, and Issue Salience: When and How Do Legal Arguments Affect the U.S. Supreme Court?*, 41 LAW & SOC'Y REV. 259 (2007).